

# JUST POLITICS

**A Guide for Christian Engagement**

RONALD J. SIDER



**BrazosPress**

*a division of Baker Publishing Group*  
Grand Rapids, Michigan

© 2012 by Ronald J. Sider

Published by Brazos Press  
a division of Baker Publishing Group  
P.O. Box 6287, Grand Rapids, MI 49516-6287  
www.brazospress.com

First edition published in 2008 by Baker Books as *The Scandal of Evangelical Politics*.

Printed in the United States of America

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means—for example, electronic, photocopy, recording—without the prior written permission of the publisher. The only exception is brief quotations in printed reviews.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Sider, Ronald J.

Just politics : a guide for Christian engagement / Ronald J. Sider. — [2nd ed.].

p. cm.

Rev. ed. of: *The scandal of evangelical politics*.

Includes bibliographical references (p. ) and index.

ISBN 978-1-58743-326-9 (pbk.)

1. Christianity and politics. I. Sider, Ronald J. *Scandal of evangelical politics*. II. Title.

BR115.P7S5155 2012

261.7—dc23

2012017950

Unless otherwise indicated, Scripture quotations are from the Holy Bible, Today's New International Version®. TNIV®. Copyright © 2001, 2005 by Biblica, Inc.™ Used by permission of Zondervan. All rights reserved worldwide. www.zondervan.com

Scripture quotations labeled ESV are from The Holy Bible, English Standard Version® (ESV®), copyright © 2001 by Crossway, a publishing ministry of Good News Publishers. Used by permission. All rights reserved. ESV Text Edition: 2007

Scripture quotations labeled NIV 1984 are from the Holy Bible, New International Version®. NIV®. Copyright © 1973, 1978, 1984 by Biblica, Inc.™ Used by permission of Zondervan. All rights reserved worldwide. www.zondervan.com

Scripture quotations labeled NKJV are from the New King James Version. Copyright © 1982 by Thomas Nelson, Inc. Used by permission. All rights reserved.

Scripture quotations labeled NRSV are from the New Revised Standard Version of the Bible, copyright © 1989, by the Division of Christian Education of the National Council of the Churches of Christ in the United States of America. Used by permission. All rights reserved.

The internet addresses, email addresses, and phone numbers in this book are accurate at the time of publication. They are provided as a resource. Baker Publishing Group does not endorse them or vouch for their content or permanence.

12 13 14 15 16 17 18 7 6 5 4 3 2 1



# Contents

Acknowledgments	ix
Preface	xi
Preface to the Second Edition	xiii
<b>Part 1 The Scandal of Evangelical Political Engagement</b>	<b>1</b>
1. Tragic Failure, New Opportunity	3
<b>Part 2 A Better Approach</b>	<b>11</b>
2. Developing a Faithful Methodology	13
3. Politics and the Biblical Story	31
<b>Part 3 Building a Solid Framework: From Biblical Paradigms and Societal Analysis to an Evangelical Political Philosophy</b>	<b>53</b>
4. The State: Its Nature, Purpose, and Limits	55
5. Justice	77
6. Human Rights, Democracy, and Capitalism	101
7. The Sanctity of Human Life	117
8. Marriage and Family	129
9. Religious Freedom, Church, and State	141
10. Peacemaking, Just War, and Nonviolence	157
11. Creation Care	171
12. Nation-States and International Affairs	181

**Part 4 Loving One’s Neighbor through Faithful Political Engagement 191**

13. Biblical Balance, Historic Opportunity 193

Bibliography 201

Notes 213

Subject Index 237

Scripture Index 245

## Acknowledgments

I want to thank the many, many people who contributed to this book. Most cannot be named because their specific contribution—at numerous conferences, in vast numbers of personal conversations, and in many books read over more than four decades—has faded from conscious memory. A large portion of those interactions happened in one or another program of Evangelicals for Social Action or my teaching at Palmer Seminary at Eastern University, which have provided wonderful places for my thinking on this topic to develop over more than thirty years.

The several-year process that Diane Knippers and I cochaired for the National Association of Evangelicals was an especially significant time for me. That time of vigorous interaction with key evangelical thinkers on public policy, which led to “For the Health of the Nation: An Evangelical Call to Civic Responsibility” (unanimously approved by the NAE’s board of directors in October 2004), helped crystallize my thinking about an evangelical political philosophy.

I want to thank Timothy Shah and Daniel Philpott, who provided crucial assistance on short notice for chapter 12. I am also especially grateful for two graduate research scholars. Regina Downing (my Ayres Graduate Research Scholar) did research and typed the vast bulk of the manuscript (slowly learning how to decipher my handwritten original). Peter Sensenig (my Wilberforce Graduate Research Scholar) helped Regina with some of the research and typing. Many thanks for their careful work over many long hours.

For this second edition, Heather Biscoe (my Wilberforce Graduate Research Scholar) provided excellent help typing the manuscript and Rachel Leshner (my Sider Scholar) did valuable research.

## Preface

Human experience proves that politics profoundly impacts billions of people. Bad political choices lead to dictatorship, starvation, and death for hundreds of millions. Good political decisions nurture freedom, life, justice, and peace. Politics matters.

Christian faith teaches that all authority on heaven and earth has already been given to the risen Lord Jesus. He is now King of kings and Lord of lords. Christians, therefore, must act politically in ways that are faithful to Christ the Lord.

Tragically, Christian political activity today is a disaster. Christians embrace contradictory positions on almost every political issue. When they join the political fray, they often succumb to dishonesty and corruption. Even when they endorse good goals, they too often promote their political agenda in foolish ways that frighten non-Christians, thus making it more difficult or nearly impossible to achieve important political goals.

At the heart of the problem is the fact that many Christians, especially evangelical Christians, have not thought very carefully about how to do politics in a wise, biblically grounded way. This book seeks to develop an approach, a methodology, for doing that. It attempts to show how to integrate a thoroughly biblical normative vision with a careful study of society in a way that develops a conceptual framework—a political philosophy—that can guide Christians into more thoughtful and effective political activity.

Both because I am an evangelical and because evangelicals have done less work than other Christians on this topic, this book is addressed primarily to evangelicals and Pentecostals. I pray, however, that growing evangelical reflection on political engagement will lead to more dialogue and greater cooperation among all branches of historic Christianity as we pursue the important task of shaping political life.

## Preface to the Second Edition

In the first edition of this book, I lamented the fact that evangelicals have not engaged in the kind of extensive systematic reflection on political life that has been done for decades by Catholics and mainline Protestants. That is beginning to change. In the four years since that first edition, there has been a flood of books on politics by evangelical authors. Some are scholarly volumes, some are the reflections of political practitioners, some are popular manifestos, some are good introductory textbooks, and some are partisan screeds. Here I comment on some of the more important books that have appeared, either since 2008 or too late in 2007 for me to mention them in the first edition.

Michael Lindsay, recently named president of Gordon College, published a superb book called *Faith in the Halls of Power: How Evangelicals Joined the American Elite* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007). He shows how a growing evangelical elite has become influential, not just in politics, but also in business, athletics, Hollywood, and the academy. Based on massive research and many personal interviews, Lindsay helps us understand how evangelicals are shaping American life.

In 2010, James Davison Hunter published a controversial book titled *To Change the World: The Irony, Tragedy, and Possibility of Christianity in the Late Modern World* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2010). As a distinguished professor of religion, culture, and social theory at the University of Virginia and a widely read and highly respected scholar, Hunter himself is an illustration of Lindsay's point that evangelicals have joined the American elite. Hunter challenges all of the political strategies that evangelicals have used in the last few decades, recommending an approach of "faithful presence." His thesis probably places too much emphasis on elitist, "top-down" strategies, but he certainly offers a brilliant challenge to all Christians, and evangelicals in particular, to rethink how best to change society.

Eric Gregory, assistant professor of religion at Princeton University, draws on his mastery of the thought of St. Augustine to develop an argument for Christian engagement with contemporary liberal democratic societies. His *Politics and the Order of Love: An Augustinian Ethic of Democratic Citizenship* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2010) is a significant scholarly contribution to Christian political engagement.

In *The Future of Faith in American Politics: The Public Witness of the Evangelical Center* (Waco, TX: Baylor University Press, 2008), David P. Gushee (distinguished university professor of Christian ethics at Mercer University) argues that an emerging evangelical center is slowly replacing the Religious Right as the dominant evangelical political voice. Abandoning a largely exclusive preoccupation with the two issues of abortion and marriage, the emerging evangelical center (especially younger evangelicals) also cares deeply about economic justice, creation care, and peacemaking.

In *Jesus and Justice: Evangelicals, Race, and American Politics* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 2009), Peter Goodwin Heltzel argues that Martin Luther King Jr. and evangelical elder statesman Carl F. H. Henry provide a good deal of the inspiration for four of the major evangelical networks today: The Christian Community Development Association and Sojourners on the one hand, and Focus on the Family and the National Association of Evangelicals on the other. A year earlier, Heltzel (assistant professor of theology at New York Theological Seminary) and his coeditor, Wheaton College Professor Bruce Ellis Benson, published *Evangelicals and Empire: Christian Alternatives to the Political Status Quo* (Grand Rapids: Brazos, 2008). In it, a distinguished, diverse group of thinkers challenge American evangelicals to reevaluate their understanding of and relationship to the “American Empire.”

Calvin College’s Corwin E. Smidt and a circle of evangelical political scientists have been doing sophisticated statistical analysis of American (especially evangelical) political engagement for several decades. In *The Disappearing God Gap? Religion in the 2008 Presidential Election* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2010), Smidt and several other political scientists analyze, using sophisticated polling data, the extent to which Democrats in 2008 managed to attract deeply religious voters. J. Daryl Charles attempted to reintroduce evangelicals to the long Christian tradition of natural law in *Returning to Moral First Things: The Natural-Law Tradition and Its Contemporary Application* (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2008).

Yale theologian Miroslav Volf published *A Public Faith: How Followers of Christ Should Serve the Common Good* (Grand Rapids: Brazos, 2011), an excellent contribution to the discussion of the proper relationship between religious faith and public life.

These and other important new books indicate that evangelical scholars are now engaged in a more sophisticated analysis of politics. But evangelicals have

also become deeply engaged in politics itself, and a number of practitioners have provided probing reflections on political life.

Don Eberly has served in both the Reagan and George W. Bush administrations and has written widely on civil society. His *The Rise of Global Civil Society: Building Communities and Nations from the Bottom Up* (New York: Encounter, 2008) is a brilliant book reflecting his years of experience both in Washington, DC and on the ground in places like Iraq. Michael Gerson was President George W. Bush's brilliant speechwriter and respected advisor. After leaving the Bush administration, he published *Heroic Conservatism: Why Republicans Need to Embrace America's Ideals (And Why They Deserve to Fail if They Don't)* (New York: Harper, 2007). A key advisor in President Bush's major initiative to greatly expand US economic foreign aid in order to combat AIDS and malaria in Africa and elsewhere, Gerson makes an impassioned case for "compassionate conservatism." In *City of Man: Religion and Politics in a New Era* (Chicago: Moody, 2010), Gerson and his coauthor Peter Wehner (also a veteran of the Bush White House) articulate their vision of the proper relationship between politics and religion. In *Prisoner of Conscience* (Grand Rapids: Zondervan, 2011), long-time Republican congressman Frank R. Wolf reflects on his thirty years in Congress where he struggled to apply his evangelical faith by successfully becoming a powerful champion of human rights around the world.

Prominent evangelicals are also engaged as activists, popularizing ideas and organizing Christians for political engagement.

Jim Wallis's *God's Politics: Why the Right Gets It Wrong and the Left Doesn't Get It* (San Francisco: HarperSanFrancisco, 2005) became a New York Times best-seller and propelled Wallis into heightened national visibility and influence. As an evangelical political activist and the president of Sojourners, Wallis has more recently published other widely read books: *The Great Awakening: Reviving Faith and Politics in a Post-Religious Right America* (San Francisco: HarperSanFrancisco, 2008) and *Rediscovering Values on Wall Street, Main Street, and Your Street* (New York: Howard, 2010).

Long-time staffer for InterVarsity Christian Fellowship and now director of mobilizing at Sojourners, Lisa Sharon Harper articulates an activist Christian vision in her *Evangelical ≠ Republican . . . or Democrat* (New York: New Press, 2008). More recently, she joins coauthor D. C. Innes (conservative columnist and political scientist) in *Left, Right, and Christ: Evangelical Faith in Politics* (Boise, ID: Russell Media, 2011) in a vigorous, controversial dialogue on current political issues. Adam Taylor, former White House fellow and Sojourners staffer and now vice president of advocacy at World Vision, issues a strong call for vigorous Christian political activism in his *Mobilizing Hope: Faith-Inspired Activism for a Post-Civil Rights Generation* (Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity, 2010).

Counselor to former president Clinton and member of the platform committee for the Democratic party in 2008, Tony Campolo (professor emeritus

at Eastern University) is one of the most dynamic evangelical speakers today. His 2008 *Red Letter Christians: A Citizen's Guide to Faith and Politics* (Ventura, CA: Regal Books) reflects Campolo's lifetime of speaking about politics. Campolo's student Shane Claiborne has become a powerful young evangelical voice for engagement with the poor. In *Jesus for President* (Grand Rapids: Zondervan, 2008), Claiborne and his coauthor Chris Haw question the importance of politics and call for a much greater emphasis on Christian community and grassroots engagement with poor people.

From a much more conservative perspective have come books by prominent evangelicals identified with the Religious Right. For more than a couple of decades, Richard Land has been the articulate public policy voice of the Southern Baptist Convention. His *The Divided States of America? What Liberals and Conservatives Are Missing in the God-and-Country Shouting Match!* (Nashville: Thomas Nelson, 2007) develops a Christian argument for a conservative political agenda. Prominent evangelical pastor D. James Kennedy and coauthor Jerry Newcombe do the same in *How Would Jesus Vote? A Christian Perspective on the Issues* (New York: WaterBrook, 2008). Charles W. Colson, Richard Nixon's tough advisor and later founder of Prison Fellowship, played a key role in evangelical conservative politics for three decades. His *God and Government: An Insider's View on the Boundaries Between Faith and Politics* (Grand Rapids: Zondervan, 2007) illustrates the thoughtful, probing analysis he brings to every political discussion.

Evangelical scholars have also written several recent textbooks on politics. Former state senator and longtime professor of political science at Calvin College and Pepperdine University Steve Monsma published a balanced, thoughtful introduction to politics titled *Healing for a Broken World: Christian Perspectives on Public Policy* (Wheaton: Crossway, 2008). Also useful in sorting out differing evangelical political perspectives is the book edited by P. C. Kemeny: *Church, State and Public Justice* (Downers Grove, IL: IVP Academic, 2007). Wheaton College political scientist Amy Black has written a helpful guide to the "nuts and bolts" of American politics in her *Beyond Left and Right: Helping Christians Make Sense of American Politics* (Grand Rapids: Baker Books, 2008).

From the pen of prominent evangelical theologian Wayne Grudem, we have a huge textbook: *Politics according to the Bible: A Comprehensive Resource for Understanding Modern Political Issues in Light of Scripture* (Grand Rapids: Zondervan, 2010). Now professor of theology and biblical studies at Phoenix Seminary, Grudem provides his usual masterful assembly of relevant biblical texts on a wide range of foundational questions and concrete issues. In many instances, evangelicals would agree with his discussion of foundational principles. But it is less clear why or how his preference for a flat tax rather than a "progressive" income tax (where the rich pay a higher percent than the poor) flows inevitably from the Bible. Or why politics according to the Bible

leads to a constantly negative critique in virtually all references to President Obama. Grudem even rejects the view of the vast majority of scientists on global warming, and suggests that the “underlying cause” of fears of global warming comes not from science but from “rejection of belief in God.”<sup>1</sup> (For a detailed summary of the scientific evidence, see below 233n1.)

This brief overview of recent books on politics by evangelicals is by no means exhaustive, but it shows that vigorous evangelical political reflection has entered an exciting, more extensive, more sophisticated phase. In the short span of five years there has been a flood of new books on politics by evangelical authors. Some contain groundbreaking scholarship, others the thoughtful reflection of political practitioners and popular activists, and still others are helpful textbooks. One can only hope that this flowering of evangelical political reflection will grow and deepen in the next few decades in a way that nurtures a more thoughtful, more biblical, more sophisticated evangelical political engagement. My prayer is that this present volume will make its own contribution to that important task.

---

PART 1

---

# The Scandal of Evangelical Political Engagement

---

**F**or thirty years, evangelicals around the world have been rushing into politics. Occasionally, we have been wise and effective. More often, we have floundered and failed. Too frequently, our political activity has hindered our evangelistic witness and disgraced our Lord. We must face what we have done wrong so that we can do better.



# 1

---

## Tragic Failure, New Opportunity

Evangelicals today are up to our ears in politics. After decades of withdrawal, we are now vigorously engaged in political activity all around the world. The opportunities are enormous. But the lack of thoughtful preparation is creating tragic failure.

The evangelical return to politics in the last three decades has been strikingly rapid. As late as 1965, Jerry Falwell sharply condemned the clergy engaged in civil rights marches and issued a sweeping rejection of politics.

Believing the Bible as I do, I would find it impossible to stop preaching the pure saving gospel of Jesus Christ and begin doing anything else—including fighting communism, or participating in civil rights reforms. . . . Preachers are not called to be politicians but to be soul winners. . . . Nowhere are we commissioned to reform the externals. The gospel does not clean up the outside but rather regenerates the inside.<sup>1</sup>

Just fifteen years later, Rev. Falwell’s name was everywhere in public debate as the leader of a new “Religious Right” political movement called the Moral Majority, widely credited with helping elect Ronald Reagan as president of the United States in 1980.

Many fundamentalists and other theologically conservative leaders followed Falwell into vigorous political activity, urging their followers to register, vote, and lobby their representatives. Prominent fundamentalist author and pastor Tim LaHaye went so far as to declare that “the only way to have a genuine spiritual revival is to have legislative reform.”<sup>2</sup> What an incredible reversal of

the earlier, standard evangelical view that evangelism and spiritual revival, *not* political reform, are the way to change society.

In the decade before the so-called Moral Majority burst on the scene, a number of younger evangelicals had called for evangelicals to reengage in political life.<sup>3</sup> Many of the specifics in Falwell's Moral Majority were not exactly what they had in mind, but there is no doubt that Falwell's Moral Majority and then the Christian Coalition organized by charismatic TV preacher Pat Robertson drew millions of formerly disengaged evangelicals (especially fundamentalists and charismatics) into the political arena.

During this same time, evangelicals in Latin America and Asia also joined the political fray in dramatic new ways. There have been at least eight evangelical presidents in developing countries in the 1980s and 1990s. Among the best known are Obasanjo in Nigeria, Chiluba in Zambia, Ramos in the Philippines, Kim Young Sam in South Korea, and Ríos Montt in Guatemala. In Spanish-speaking Latin America alone, well over twenty evangelical political parties have emerged.<sup>4</sup>

In a recent book by Brazilian evangelical scholar Paul Freston, the author surveys and analyzes this sweeping new evangelical political engagement in the Third World. In spite of important positive developments, Freston found widespread confusion, ineptitude, misguided policies, and considerable corruption. Brazil experienced a flood of new evangelical (especially Pentecostal) political activity after 1986, but vote selling and outright corruption "have characterized Protestant politics since 1986."<sup>5</sup> Lacking any carefully developed Christian political philosophy to guide his politics, one evangelical politician announced the principle that "everything that is praised in the Bible should be prescribed [i.e., enacted as public law], everything that is condemned should be proscribed [i.e., prohibited by law]."<sup>6</sup>

Frederick Chiluba, widely known as an evangelical Christian, was elected president of Zambia in 1991. He appointed several evangelical pastors to his cabinet and pronounced Zambia a "Christian nation." When he issued this declaration, Chiluba announced: "I submit the Government and the entire nation of Zambia to the Lordship of Jesus Christ. I further declare that Zambia is a Christian nation that will seek to be governed by the righteous principles of the Word of God."<sup>7</sup> (Chiluba's statement is reminiscent of the decades-long attempt by some American evangelicals to pass an amendment to the US Constitution declaring that Jesus Christ is Lord.)<sup>8</sup>

Unfortunately, Chiluba violated human rights, tortured opponents in custody, bought votes, and allowed widespread corruption so he could run for a third term. He even used tear gas on groups who opposed him. Eventually, more than half of Zambia's members of parliament voted to impeach Chiluba.<sup>9</sup>

Freston blames these and other failures on a lack of systematic evangelical reflection on the nature of political engagement.<sup>10</sup> "A community that goes

from apoliticism to political involvement without teaching on biblical political ethics will be susceptible to the prevailing political culture.”<sup>11</sup>

Ralph Reed, the brilliant strategist who led Robertson’s Christian Coalition for many years, provides a striking illustration. In his book *Active Faith*, Reed reflects on what changed when he became a committed Christian and began attending an evangelical church: “My religious beliefs never changed my views on the [political] issues to any degree because my political philosophy was already well developed.”<sup>12</sup> If one assumes that a biblically informed and balanced political agenda was identical with the conservative platform of the Republican Party in the 1990s, then one can understand why Reed’s new evangelical faith did not change any of his politics. But if that was not the case, then Reed offers a classical example of how Christians often uncritically embrace inherited political perspectives of Right (or Left) without reflecting in a systematic, biblical way on what should be a uniquely Christian political agenda.

Ed Dobson, Falwell’s vice president in the early years of the Moral Majority, has subsequently lamented the movement’s lack of a coherent, developed political philosophy. Their approach, he says, was “ready, fire, aim.”<sup>13</sup> Their lack of careful reflection, Dobson now believes, contributed to many failures: thinking America had a “favored-nation status with God,” neglecting what the Bible teaches about the poor, unfairly attacking enemies, and using manipulative fund-raising techniques.<sup>14</sup>

Many thoughtful commentators—both evangelical insiders and friendly outsiders—agree with Dobson’s lament about the failure of evangelicals to develop a comprehensive political philosophy. Michael Cromartie, who has spent years in Washington nurturing evangelical political reflection, notes that evangelicals have “become involved in politics for cultural reasons without seeking theological justification for that involvement until after the fact.”<sup>15</sup> In a letter inviting evangelical leaders to a Washington gathering in early 1997 to discuss Christian faith and public life, Don Eberly pointed to “the absence of an evangelical theology.” In fact, Eberly suggested that the lack of a solid political philosophy had led evangelicals to use language in their political work that prompted non-evangelicals to view them as “intolerant,” dangerous people with theocratic designs. Eberly concluded that unless evangelicals developed an “orthodox public theology,” they would soon revert back to a separationist mentality and a new withdrawal from politics.<sup>16</sup>

Prominent evangelical Republican politician Paul Henry lamented as early as 1977 that “the evangelical community . . . seeks to leap from piety to practice with little reflection on guiding principles.”<sup>17</sup>

Evangelical historian Mark Noll has written several important pieces analyzing evangelical political engagement throughout American history. That engagement was vigorous up until the early twentieth century when evangelicals reacted one-sidedly against the liberal theology of the Social Gospel

movement and retreated into separatist, fundamentalist enclaves. But Noll argues that even earlier, when evangelicals *were* politically engaged, they did very little theological reflection on their politics. Grounded in an emotional fervor characteristic of the revivalism that so powerfully shaped evangelicals, their political activity was populist—based on intuition and simplistic biblical proof texting rather than systematic reflection.

The situation grew even worse as premillennial dispensationalism, preoccupied with the details of the last times surrounding Christ's return, swept through many evangelical circles in the first half of the twentieth century. Apocalyptic speculation about whether Mussolini, Hitler, or Stalin might be the antichrist reached fever pitch in the 1930s and 1940s as evangelical political engagement plunged to an all-time low. Even as biblical a leader as Donald Barnhouse, famous Philadelphia pastor, editor, and radio preacher, declared that Christians who study the details of the end times in Ezekiel knew more about current political developments than those who read the best secular newsmagazines.<sup>18</sup> At a time when end-times novels are by far the most widely read evangelical books, we need to hear Noll's warning that if evangelicals continue to be influenced by the kind of historicist dispensationalism that tries to identify current events as the detailed fulfillment of biblical prophecy, "there is little intellectual hope for the future" of responsible evangelical political reflection.<sup>19</sup>

According to Os Guinness, there has been "no serious evangelical public philosophy in this [the twentieth] century."<sup>20</sup> Not quite that negative, Noll has detected signs of renewed evangelical political reflection in several evangelical traditions, especially among Dutch Reformed, theonomist, and Anabaptist thinkers.<sup>21</sup> Unfortunately, he concludes, "Their diverse approaches to the Bible leave evangelical political thought scattered all over the map."<sup>22</sup>

Evangelical failure to develop a comprehensive political philosophy contrasts sharply with what other Christian traditions, especially Catholics, have done. Roman Catholics benefit from over a century of papal encyclicals that have carefully developed and articulated a Catholic approach to public life.<sup>23</sup> Mainline Protestants—both through church declarations and the work of brilliant individuals like Reinhold Niebuhr—have also developed a substantial collection of careful thought on politics.<sup>24</sup> The evangelical community has simply failed to develop anything comparable.<sup>25</sup>

The absence of any widely accepted, systematic evangelical reflection on politics leads to contradiction, confusion, ineffectiveness, even biblical unfaithfulness in our political work.

Consider the evangelical community's inconsistency with regard to the sanctity of human life. Almost all evangelicals agree with the principle. But many highly visible evangelical pro-life movements focus largely on the question of abortion—as if, as one wag commented, life begins at conception and ends at birth. But what about the millions of children who die every year of

starvation or the millions of adults killed annually by tobacco smoke? Are these not also sanctity-of-life issues?

Senator Jesse Helms provides one of the most stunning illustrations of this problem. For many years, Senator Helms was one of the most prominent pro-life leaders in the US Congress, pushing for restrictions on abortion. But Helms happened to represent the largest tobacco-growing state in the country, so he also supported government subsidies for tobacco growers—even using tax dollars to subsidize shipping American tobacco to poor nations under the Food for Peace program! Hardly a pro-life use of public tax dollars.

Most evangelicals believe that widespread moral decay threatens the future. Some evangelicals argue that restoring public Bible reading and prayer at the beginning of the school day in the public schools would help reverse the moral decay. Other evangelicals believe that would violate the constitutional separation of church and state.

Evangelicals have disagreed sharply on the faith-based initiatives of President George W. Bush and the earlier legislation on charitable choice signed by President Clinton. These new measures strengthen the ability of deeply faith-based organizations to receive federal funds to expand their social programs serving the poor and needy, without having to abandon the religious character of their programs. Many evangelicals enthusiastically support these measures as a reversal of several decades of radically secularized misinterpretation of the First Amendment on religious freedom. Other evangelicals argue that the faith-based initiatives, especially charitable choice, violate the First Amendment and threaten to destroy both church and state.

Evangelical pronouncements on the role of government are often contradictory. Sometimes, when attacking government measures they dislike, evangelical voices use libertarian arguments that forbid almost all government programs that help the poor. (“Helping the poor is a task for individuals and churches, not the government,” some argue. “Government should provide a legal framework, fair courts, and police protection but then leave almost everything else to the free choice of individuals.”) But, when the issue changes from the poor to the family, the definition of marriage, abortion, or pornography, the same people quickly abandon libertarian arguments that maximize individual freedom. Instead they push vigorously for legislation that involves substantial government restriction of individual choices. It is possible that there are valid intellectual arguments for adopting libertarian arguments in the first case and non-libertarian arguments in the second, but a careful argument would have to be made. Without such an argument, flipping from libertarian to non-libertarian arguments looks confused and superficial.

The agenda of many Christian political movements is also problematic. One sees a great deal on abortion, euthanasia, and the family, but hardly ever do they push for public policy to combat racism, protect the creation, or empower the poor. If the Bible says that God cares *both* about the family *and* the poor,

about the sanctity of human life *and* racial justice *and* creation, then should not evangelical political movements be promoting *all* these things? Does not a one-sided focus on the issues that happen to be favored by either the Left or the Right suggest that one's political agenda is shaped more by secular ideology than careful biblical, theological reflection? Fortunately, as prominent evangelical ethicist David Gushee has recently argued, a new evangelical center is emerging that embraces a broader, more balanced biblical agenda.<sup>26</sup>

Consider also the tough question: What should we legislate? Should public law, as the newly engaged evangelical politician we quoted earlier said, support everything the Bible says is right and outlaw everything the Bible says is wrong? Should public law allow divorce only in the narrow circumstances under which Jesus permitted divorce?<sup>27</sup> Or should the state's law on divorce differ from the church's teaching? If one believes that adultery and homosexual practice are sinful, does that mean that the law should make such activity a crime? If not, why not? Answering the complex question of what to legislate and what not to legislate requires a lot of thinking about the proper, limited role of the state, the nature of human freedom, and the purpose and limitations of laws. In short, it requires sophisticated thinking about a biblically grounded, factually informed political philosophy.

All this may sound so complicated that some conclude, "Forget it. We don't need all that highfalutin intellectual stuff." But those who take that route are doomed to continue the present pattern of political engagement: contradiction, confusion, ineffectiveness, and failure. They are likely to despair of achieving anything significant through politics—and drop out. (That's basically what Falwell's two former vice presidents, Cal Thomas and Ed Dobson, recommend in their book *Blinded by Might*.)<sup>28</sup>

Would that be so bad? After all, politics is certainly not the most important activity in the world. It is not as important as evangelism. Being good parents, church members, neighbors, school teachers—none of these things are politics, but they are enormously important and help build good societies.

So should faithful Christians just forget about politics? No, for two reasons—one practical, the other theological.

It is a simple historical fact that political decisions have a huge impact—good or bad—on the lives of literally billions of people. Think of the devastation and death the world might have avoided if German voters had not elected Hitler to public office. Think of the freedom, goodness, and joy that followed for tens of millions from the fact that evangelical member of Parliament William Wilberforce labored for more than thirty years and eventually persuaded his colleagues in the British Parliament to outlaw first the slave trade and then slavery itself in the British Empire.

It is through politics that country after country has come to enjoy democracy. It is through politics that nation after nation has stopped jailing and killing "heretics"—thousands of my ancestors in the sixteenth century were burned

at the stake or drowned in the rivers by fellow Protestants who disagreed with our belief that the church should be separate from the state. It took centuries, but eventually more and more politicians in more and more countries decided that religious freedom for everyone is a necessary mark of a just political order. It is through politics that Marxist-Leninist totalitarianism first conquered and developed and then waned and disappeared in Eastern Europe and the Soviet Union. It is through politics that we develop laws that either restrict or permit abortion, allow or forbid “gay marriage,” protect or destroy the environment. Politics is simply too important to ignore.

The theological reason for political engagement is even more compelling. The central Christian confession is that Jesus is now Lord—Lord of the entire universe. The New Testament explicitly teaches that he is now “ruler of the kings of the earth” (Rev. 1:5). “All authority in heaven and on earth” *has been* given to the risen Jesus (Matt. 28:18). Christians who know that must submit every corner of their lives to their wonderful Lord.

Since we live in democratic societies where we have the freedom to vote, our votes—or even our failure to vote—shape what happens in important areas of politics. If Christ is my Lord, if Christ desires the well-being of all, and if my vote has the potential to encourage political decisions that will promote the well-being of my neighbors, then the obligation to vote responsibly follows necessarily from my confession that Christ my Lord calls me to love my neighbor. One way Christians must live out our belief that Christ is Lord, even of political life, is to think and pray for wisdom to act politically in ways that best reflect Christ our Lord.

That is the basic task of this book. How do we acquire the wisdom to act in politics in a way that truly reflects Christ? How do we move from a commitment to Jesus Christ and biblical authority to concrete political decisions that lead us to support or oppose specific laws and candidates? Is there an approach, a method, a framework that will help us do that? I hope the following chapters succeed in articulating an evangelical political philosophy that will do just that.

Some may ask: Why call it an *evangelical* political philosophy? My friends David Gushee and Dennis Hollinger have recently argued that what we call evangelicalism is really a series of revival movements designated to call Christians who are straying from historic Christianity back to orthodox Christian faith and practice. Therefore there is really no such thing as evangelicalism per se, or an evangelical ethic, or an evangelical political philosophy. There are simply a number of orthodox Christian political philosophies articulated by persons who stand within one of the several orthodox Christian theological traditions (whether Lutheran, Reformed, Pentecostal, Anabaptist, Wesleyan, Eastern Orthodox, or Roman Catholic).<sup>29</sup>

We need not decide whether Gushee and Hollinger’s basic thesis is valid in order to note one problem: their argument obscures the fact that there are more

than 600 million Christians, living in virtually every country on the planet, whose leaders identify in some important ways with the label “evangelical.” They know they are Protestant, not Roman Catholic or Eastern Orthodox. Many of them also see themselves as Pentecostal or Wesleyan or Anabaptist or Reformed or Anglican. But most (although not all) also see themselves as part of the broad family that identifies with the label “evangelical Protestant.” This family shares a common passion to share the gospel of Jesus Christ with those who have never heard, to submit their whole life to the lordship of Jesus Christ, and to embrace the Bible as God’s authoritative, revealed word and as the final authority for faith and practice.

In spite of the many specifics of their theological traditions that still divide them, they sense that all those who identify with the label “evangelical” have important things in common that enable them to work together, not only in evangelism but also in political life, to move their societies toward wholeness. As we have seen, their first steps in the past couple decades have often been confused and imperfect. But evangelicals are ready to try again. All around the world, evangelical thinkers and politicians are wrestling at a deeper level with how to act politically in faithfulness to Christ.<sup>30</sup> If even a modest fraction of that rapidly growing number of 600 million evangelicals and Pentecostals would develop a commonly embraced, biblically grounded framework for doing politics, they would change the world.