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Introduction

Augustine’s friend and first biographer, Possidius, wrote that “so many things were dictated and published by him and so many things were discussed in the church, written down and amended, whether against various heretics or expounded from the canonical books for the edification of the holy sons of the Church, that scarcely any student would be able to read and know them all.”

Augustine wrote over one hundred treatises, countless letters and sermons, and more than five million words in all. Although few scholars can become acquainted with all of his writings, there are certain pivotal works that one simply must know if one is interested in the development of Christian theology, biblical exegesis, and Western civilization. This is especially the case because Augustine has always been, and remains today, a controversial thinker whose insights into the realities of God and salvation can be easily misunderstood.

Setting aside the longer exegetical works such as the Literal Commentary on Genesis, On the Psalms, and Tractates on the Gospel of John, which would burst the bounds of this book, the list of Augustine’s necessary works includes the following seven:

1. On Christian Doctrine (396–97, 426);
2. Answer to Faustus, a Manichean (397–98);
3. Homilies on the First Epistle of John (407);
of the Saints (428–29); Confessions (397–401); City of God (413–26); and On the Trinity (399–419). In order to engage later Catholic and Protestant theology—and in certain cases Eastern Orthodox theology—one must know these works. Even more important, one must read these works to gain an appreciation for why such a great thinker gave his life to the realities proclaimed by Christian Scripture. And, lastly, it is by reading these works that one will be able to evaluate the development and present intellectual impasse of Western civilization. Augustine speaks as powerfully today as he did sixteen hundred years ago.

My task in this book is to present these seven pivotal works of Augustine. Here we find the themes that Augustine plumbed most deeply: how to interpret Christian Scripture, the relationship between the Old and New Testaments, the unity of the Church in charity, God’s eternity and simplicity, grace and predestination, conversion, the meaning of history, the two “cities,” the cross and resurrection of Jesus Christ, and the divine Trinity. The first two works, On Christian Doctrine and Answer to Faustus, a Manichean, set forth the central components of Augustine’s theology of Scripture and of scriptural interpretation. The next two works, Homilies on the First Epistle of John and On the Predestination of the Saints, explore the grace of the Holy Spirit and the charity that unites the Body of Christ. The final three works, Confessions, City of God, and On the Trinity, form a triptych that shows how human life (individual and communal) is an ascent to full participation in the life of the Triune God, who descends in Christ and the Holy Spirit to make possible our sharing in the divine life.

Augustine wrote his longer works over a period of years. For example, City of God took around thirteen years to complete, and On the Trinity may have taken longer. Yet each of his works is a carefully orchestrated unity. It is therefore not enough simply to survey Augustine’s central ideas. One needs to follow the argument of each work in its entirety in order to see how the great rhetorician weaves his ideas together in the service of Christian instruction. Many introductions to Augustine’s theology treat his ideas on this and that topic, drawing upon a wide variety of his treatises, letters, and sermons. It seems to me more fruitful to introduce Augustine’s major ideas by surveying his most important works in their entirety.

4. These dates are standard approximations; most of these works cannot be dated with exactitude.


In preparing this book, I have had especially in mind the needs of students and educated readers who desire an introduction to Augustine. As a reader of Scripture, Augustine helps us to avoid historicism by constantly reminding us that true interpretation of Scripture requires learning both the historical meaning of the biblical “signs” and their salvific referent (On Christian Doctrine and Answer to Faustus, a Manichean). Since Christianity is a communion of persons rather than simply an interpretation of texts, we come to know Scripture rightly in the Church, where Scripture nourishes the love of God’s people (Homilies on the First Epistle of John). This friendship with God and each other is not something that we can give ourselves, but is entirely God’s gift in Christ and the Spirit (On the Predestination of the Saints). As individuals seeking true friendship (Confessions) and as communities seeking true peace (City of God), humans have been created for union with the Triune God, who draws us to himself by knowledge and by love (On the Trinity). Augustine offers a pattern of biblical reading, of living the Scriptures, that invites us to enjoy friendship with the Triune God who has created and redeemed us.

I include in this volume one work from each of Augustine’s major disputations—namely, with the Manichees, Donatists, and Pelagians, respectively. Augustine’s Answer to Faustus, a Manichean is particularly important for its defense of the Old Testament as Christian Scripture, especially through its insistence that words and deeds of the Old Testament often refer typologically to Christ and the Church. Augustine’s Homilies on the First Epistle of John shows his exegetical effort to explore the requirements of charity and to end the fourth-century schism between Catholics and Donatists. Lastly, his On the Predestination of the Saints, which belongs to his anti-Pelagian writings, sets forth the biblical evidence in favor of the utter gratuity of the eternal God’s gift of salvation.

The present book also attends to the variety of genres in which Augustine wrote. Confessions is autobiographical, even if it is far from autobiography in the modern sense given that it focuses on God, integrates Scripture heavily into its presentation, and ends with a meditation on time, eternity, and the origin and goal of creatures. City of God offers a view of Roman, biblical, and world history from creation to the eschatological new creation, and reflects on what makes for a true society of peace. On the Trinity investigates how we can learn to know, love, and praise the Triune God and thereby be transformed in our knowing and loving so as to share in the eternal Trinity. Although each of these three works is characterized by participatory ascent to God brought about by God’s “descent” in Christ Jesus and the Holy Spirit, the fact that Augustine employs a different genre for each work helps him to engage readers from all walks of life.

On Christian Doctrine serves as a manual of instruction for Christian biblical interpretation, education, and preaching. Its genre is that of classical manuals on education and rhetoric. Answer to Faustus, a Manichean is a
polemical work, but it takes up one of the most important Christian tasks—namely, accounting for the unity of the Old and New Testaments. *Homilies on the First Epistle of John* exhibits Augustine the biblical interpreter, bishop, and preacher, for whom the task of living out charity is paramount. Finally, *On the Predestination of the Saints* draws from the whole of Scripture in order to mount a biblical argument for our absolute dependence on God’s grace for salvation. We depend on God, who is perfect love.

**Augustine’s Life**

Some remarks on Augustine’s life are in order. He was born in Thagaste, which now bears the name Souk Ahras, on November 13, 354 AD. Thagaste is sixty miles south of Hippo (now Bône), where Augustine later served as bishop. Both Thagaste and Hippo are in what is now Algeria, in North Africa. The great ancient city of Carthage was 160 miles from Thagaste. Originally founded as a Punic colony, Carthage was conquered and destroyed by Rome in 146 BC during the last Punic war. In the first century AD, Rome refounded Carthage as a Roman colony and made it the capital of the province of Africa Proconsularis. By Augustine’s day, it was among the Roman Empire’s largest cities. Augustine grew up, then, among Roman citizens who spoke Latin. He and his family were “Papiria,” a citizenship status coined in 89 BC to expand Roman citizenship to Italian communities that had previously been in rebellion against Rome. Like Carthage, Thagaste had been founded in the first century AD.

Augustine’s mother, Monica, was a Catholic, and she ensured that the household servants were as well. His father, Patricius, a middle-class landowner, was a pagan. Worshipers of pagan gods remained common in Thagaste, as throughout the Roman Empire. Indeed, Augustine was seven years old when Emperor Julian began a concerted effort to turn the empire back to its traditional pagan worship, an effort that failed in part because of Julian’s early death. Christians in North Africa were sharply divided between Catholics and Donatists throughout most of Augustine’s life.

Some background to this division will be helpful. In 303, Emperor Diocletian ordered that the Scriptures and the property of the Church be immediately

surrendered and that Christians offer worship to the gods. North African priests and bishops responded differently to this persecution. Some refused to hand over the Scriptures and were imprisoned and even martyred; others hid the Scriptures and placed false writings in their churches. Those who gave in to the persecution became known as traditores. After Emperor Constantine officially ended the persecution of Christianity (313), tensions heated up in North Africa. In the election to succeed Bishop Mensurius of Carthage (who had been one of the traditores), his deacon Caecilian was elected. Shortly afterward, however, the lector Majorinus was elected amid charges that Caecilian had been consecrated by a traditor. When Majorinus died shortly thereafter, Donatus replaced him. The result was a schism, with two claimants to bishopric of Carthage. A council under the presidency of Pope Miltiades ruled in favor of Caecilian and condemned Donatus for rebaptizing clergy who had been traditores (rebaptism having been advocated by the great third-century bishop of Carthage, Cyprian). Donatus nonetheless held firmly to his claim until his death in 335. By the 390s, there were hundreds of Donatist bishops in North Africa, despite the fact that the Donatists experienced two significant schisms within their own ranks. In Hippo as in Thagaste, the majority of Christians were Donatists.

In keeping with the common practice of the day, Augustine was not baptized as an infant. Thanks to the ambition of his father, he was sent to school first in Thagaste and then, in his twelfth year, in nearby Madaura. After a break from school during his sixteenth year caused by financial troubles, he continued with his education, now in Carthage, where he prepared for a career as a rhetorician. During his first year at Carthage, his father died and Augustine took a mistress, with whom he soon had a son, Adeodatus.

At the age of eighteen, he began his teaching career in Thagaste and became a Manichee, a follower of the third-century Babylonian teacher Mani, who thought of himself as completing the teaching of Christ and taught a radical dualism, including the denial that Christ’s body was real. Three years later Augustine moved to Carthage to teach rhetoric, and he later moved to Rome. In his thirtieth year he received an appointment as official orator in Milan. Abandoning his mistress and his career as a rhetorician, he was baptized at the age of thirty-two by Ambrose in Milan, and in that same year his mother,
Monica, died. He returned to Thagaste, where he endured the death of his son, Adeodatus. He established a monastic community there and was ordained a priest in Hippo in 391. Around 395 he became coadjutor bishop of Hippo, and in 396 he became bishop of Hippo upon Bishop Valerius’s death. The responsibilities of this position—including preaching, celebrating the Eucharist, administering and distributing Church property, settling legal disputes, and attending African episcopal councils—would occupy him until his death in 430.13

We will encounter much of this story in greater detail in Augustine’s Confessions. The intellectual sources of Augustine’s conversion included especially his reading of neo-Platonic thinkers,14 Ambrose’s sermons (which reconciled him to the Old Testament), and the writings of the apostle Paul. Even more important perhaps was the impact of his many close friendships, especially with his former student Alypius. It should also be noted that only a few years before Augustine’s conversion, the Council of Constantinople (381) confirmed the Council of Nicæa’s teaching on the divinity of the Son and affirmed the divinity of the Holy Spirit. During the years in which Augustine was studying Christianity with his friends in Carthage, Rome, and Milan, Arianizing views remained a live option. At the same time, worship of the traditional gods, astrology, divination, and other such practices were quite common.15

As a bishop, Augustine wrote in a wide variety of genres and participated in numerous ecclesial and theological debates, most notably against the Donatists.


and the Pelagians. Although his major works against the Donatists focused largely on the unity and holiness of the Church, he supported the imperial edicts in 405 and 412 that sought to suppress Donatism, ultimately by compelling Donatists to become Catholics.  

16 He sided with those who called upon imperial soldiers to defend Catholics against Donatists. From 411 through the end of his life, Augustine found himself arguing most frequently against Pelagianism and its offshoots. Pelagius, a layman who lived in Rome, taught that we possess by means of our natural powers the ability to remain sinless.  

17 The resulting debate over grace and election (predestination), an issue already well known to Origen, has recurred throughout the history of the Church.  

Although his main reading after his conversion was the Bible, Augustine knew at least some of the works of certain fathers of the Church, including Origen, Hilary of Poitiers, Cyprian of Carthage, Basil of Caesarea, Gregory of Nazianzus, and of course Ambrose and Jerome.  

18 He was not involved in the controversy between Cyril of Alexandria and Nestorius over whether the Virgin Mary was Theotokos (God-bearer) rather than merely the mother of the human nature of Jesus—a controversy that precipitated the Council of Ephesus in 431, the year after Augustine’s death. As he lay dying, he recited penitential psalms.  

19 He died while the Vandals were besieging Hippo, and he was buried in the local cemetery after a funeral Mass.

The Order of Chapters

A final note regarding the order of chapters. I begin with On Christian Doctrine because it gives a sense of Augustine’s main preoccupations as an interpreter and preacher of Scripture. Especially important is his sense of how God, who is love, uses signs (words and deeds) to teach us to love God and each other. I next treat Answer to Faustus, a Manichean because here we encounter Augustine’s insistence upon the unity of God’s salvific teaching in Scripture: both the Old Testament and the New Testament teach us to love. Third, I


17. For an overview and further bibliographical references, see Eugene TeSelle, “Pelagius, Pelagianism,” in Augustine through the Ages, ed. Allan D. Fitzgerald, OSA (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1999), 633–40.

18. For discussion see, for example, Joseph T. Lienhard, SJ, “Augustine of Hippo, Basil of Caesarea, and Gregory Nazianzen,” in Orthodox Readings of Augustine, ed. George E. Demacopoulos and Aristotle Papanikolaou (Crestwood, NY: St. Vladimir’s Seminary Press, 2008), 81–99; O’Daly, Augustine’s City of God, chap. 3.

19. See Lancel, St. Augustine, 474–75.
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survey the *Homilies on the First Epistle of John* because these homilies underscore the christological, ecclesial, and eschatological context of Christian love. The fourth work that I discuss is *On the Predestination of the Saints*, where Augustine emphasizes that God loves and saves us not because we are good but because he is.

These four works, each approaching love from a different angle, lay the foundations for the exploration of Augustine’s *Confessions*, *City of God*, and *On the Trinity*. The *Confessions* explores how an individual person, aided by friends, comes to know and love the living God. The *City of God* shows how this individual participation in the Triune God (through knowing and loving God) belongs within the broader participation of human history in God. *On the Trinity* exhibits the life of true wisdom as an inquiry into the communion-in-unity of God the Trinity, an inquiry that fosters our participation in the life of the Triune God. All wisdom, all history, and every aspect of our life find their fulfillment in God and his love.

Inevitably, my surveys of these pivotal works leave much out, and so my footnotes point the reader to the relevant scholarly literature. As Augustine would be the first to say, however, the main way to go further is to come to know and love God the Trinity and one’s neighbors, through the grace of the Holy Spirit in the sacramental communion of Christ’s Church. “May the God of steadfastness and encouragement grant you to live in such harmony with one another, in accord with Christ Jesus, that together you may with one voice glorify the God and Father of our Lord Jesus Christ” (Rom. 15:5–6).
Augustine’s first career as a rhetorician stands in the background of his On Christian Doctrine, the bulk of which was composed in 396–97 and which was completed in 426 by the addition of the final sections of Book 3 and the whole of Book 4.1 In becoming a Christian, and then a priest and bishop, Augustine found himself still having much to do with words, both as an interpreter and as a preacher. The Christian preacher receives from the Church the sacred books of Scripture, which contain both the Law and the Prophets and the apostolic witness to Jesus Christ and the Church. The books that compose the New Testament assert that Jesus is the Messiah who fulfills the Law and the Prophets. The New Testament books thus not only require interpretation themselves, they also advance hermeneutical claims regarding the Scriptures of Israel. The words and deeds that Scripture reports must be interpreted if we are to understand their historical and theological significance. Moreover, the Christian preacher cannot undertake this task alone. Earlier Christians have interpreted Scripture in ways that the Church has received as authoritative and true, and debates over true interpretation have always been a feature of the Church’s life.

In On Christian Doctrine, therefore, Augustine offers an account of biblical interpretation and preaching. He organizes his study around love. Scripture,
he argues, teaches us what and how to love. To become good interpreters, we
must learn to recognize how the words of Scripture direct us to love of God
and neighbor. In this task we can easily be led astray by biblical passages that
seem to point in the opposite direction or that at least suggest that created
goods, rather than God, can make us truly happy. We can also be led astray
by lack of knowledge of the biblical languages or of other fields of learning,
as well as by superstitions such as astrology. Augustine is therefore inter-
ested in how interpreters of Scripture should be trained. He knows that by
a proper use of speech, we can move others to love what we love, but we can
also fall into pride on account of our learning or on account of our rhetori-
cal eloquence. Despite this danger, biblical interpreters must be learned and
rhetorically skilled.

Prologue

Augustine announces that he intends to offer certain rules for interpreting the
Scriptures. He briefly addresses possible objections to his approach, foremost
among them the view that erudition is not truly needed for understanding
God’s Word. The Holy Spirit can illumine the meaning of biblical texts with-
out any need for human instruction. While granting that this is so, Augustine
points out that the usual way is for God to work through human teachers.
Even St. Paul, after his encounter with the risen Lord on the Damascus road,
had to go to the house of Ananias to be instructed, and even Moses learned
from his father-in-law, Jethro. Likewise the centurion Cornelius, after being
visited by an angel, had to go to St. Peter for instruction, and the Ethiopian
eunuch learned from St. Philip. In general, therefore, God teaches humans
through other humans. God thereby ensures that the Church truly serves
as an instrument of salvation, as it would not if God taught each individual
everything directly, without mediation. If we could teach nothing to each other,
how would relationships of love between fellow humans be fostered? So long
as they recognize that every good gift comes from God, human teachers will
not fall into pride at their own gifts or into envy when another teacher goes
further. In offering his rules for interpretation, Augustine seeks not to explain
the meaning of particular biblical texts but rather to show how to read biblical

2. François Dolbeau, “Le combat pastoral d’Augustin contre les astrologues, les devins et les
guérisseurs,” in Augustinus Afer: Saint Augustin: Africité et universalité, ed. Pierre-Yves Fux,
Jean-Michel Roessli, and Otto Wermelinger (Fribourg: Editions universitaires, 2003), 167–82.
3. See Gerald A. Press, “The Subject and Structure of Augustine’s De doctrina christiana,”
The Prooemium of St. Augustine’s De doctrina christiana,” Augustinian Studies 1 (1970): 153–80,
at 160–76.
4. See Paul R. Kolbet, Augustine and the Cure of Souls: Revising a Classical Ideal (Notre
Dame, IN: University of Notre Dame Press, 2010), 140–41, 152–53.
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texts in general. The goal is to help the reader who encounters obscurities in Scripture, by showing how such obscurities should be handled.

Book 1

The two tasks that pertain to interpreting Scripture, Augustine notes, are discovering what there is to be learned and teaching what one has discovered. He first explores how we discover what there is to be learned in Scripture.

He begins with a crucial distinction between things (res) and signs. By things, he means particular realities such as cattle, stones, trees, or water. In Scripture we learn about things through signs. For example, Augustine mentions Genesis 28:11, “Taking one of the stones of the place, he [Jacob] put it under his head and lay down in that place to sleep.” The stone that Jacob used for a pillow is a thing, and the word “stone” is a sign. Yet the matter is more complicated in Scripture, as Augustine immediately observes, because Jacob’s stone also serves as a sign. The key to a right reading of Scripture is to realize that God can and does use things as signs. In this case, Jacob’s stone is, in Augustine’s view, a sign of Christ’s humanity. All signs are signs of things; but not all things are signs. It should be noted that even God is a thing (res), although he is most certainly not a thing like other things and he is never a sign of another thing.

Treating things in themselves (and not as signs), Augustine inquires into what our attitude should be toward them. Because things are good, they attract us. On what thing or things should we set our hearts? The danger is that we will cleave to things that are passing away rather than to eternal things. But an equal danger is that we will reject created things as if we could get to our goal without the help of created things. Augustine therefore sets the following rule regarding things: “Some things are to be enjoyed, others to be used, and there are others which are to be enjoyed and used.” To enjoy a thing is


to cleave to it with all our heart. When we seek a thing in order to enjoy it, we make it our ultimate happiness and we consider it the resting point of our desire. If we can obtain the thing that we hope to enjoy, we think that we will be blessed and at rest, so that we will not wish to seek further things. Thus, something that is to be enjoyed must be loved strictly speaking for its own sake and not for the sake of any further good. By contrast, to use a thing is to love something but not for its own sake. When our ultimate happiness rests in something, we love other things for the sake of the thing in which our ultimate happiness rests. Other things help us to obtain our goal, and we love them in reference to that ultimate goal. When we love something but do not rest in it because it cannot make us fully happy and blessed, we love the thing in its reference to what we hope to enjoy. In other words, we use the thing on our path toward the happiness that we hope to enjoy.

It is important, therefore, to know what things to enjoy and what to use. All too frequently we seek to enjoy, or place our ultimate happiness in, things that cannot bear this weight. We must learn instead to use these things rather than to cleave to them for their own sake. Otherwise we will find ourselves loving created things above God. In our journey back to our Creator God, we need the help of many things in order to reach our true goal. Augustine compares the human person to a wanderer who is attempting to return to his homeland. The wanderer needs carriages and ships to return home, but if the wanderer got attached to the journey with its carriages and ships and began to love these things more than his homeland, he would no longer want to return home. This is the situation in which many of us find ourselves; we are alienated from the homeland that would give us true happiness, because we have become attached to this world. This world is good, but it is not the infinite good for which we were made, and so it cannot give us happiness. God made it so that we, and others, can use the things in it to journey to him. By means of “the things that have been made,” we should strive for union with God’s “invisible nature, namely, his eternal power and deity” (Rom. 1:20).

The Father, Son, and Holy Spirit are the “things” (res) that we were created to enjoy. These three are one in divinity, but they are distinct in relation to each other. Our human concepts of God fall infinitely short of God. But even though our words about God are inadequate, nonetheless we can speak truth about God. Augustine explores the various ways in which people conceive of God. Some conceive of God as the sun or as the entire cosmos; some conceive of gods among which one is primary. But we do not conceive of God truthfully in these ways. We begin to speak truth about God when we recognize that God is greater than all finite things. God could not be less than the most perfect...

---

finite thing. Therefore he must be living, and indeed he must be simply the perfection of life itself. He must be intelligent, and not in a mutable way but supremely so, since it is better to be unchangeably wise than to be threatened with a return to foolishness. When we imagine God as a finite thing, we show that our minds need purification so as to see the divine light. This purification is the first step of our journey to our homeland, God himself. Since God is infinite spirit, we travel toward God not by spatial movement but by holy love. By our own strength, we cannot supply ourselves with such love; to suppose that we can is the sin of pride. The incarnate Son of God, however, has shown us the path of humility by which we should travel.

Still speaking of things we should enjoy, Augustine offers a brief account of Jesus Christ. He quotes John 1:14, “the Word became flesh and dwelt among us.” The divine Word becoming flesh can be conceived along the lines of our thought becoming speech. Our thought does not itself change, but it assumes the form of vocal words so that others can hear it. Likewise, the divine Word, while remaining unchanged in itself, assumed a human nature so that we could see, hear, and touch him. Christ is both physician and medicine to our wound of sin. He applies the cure of humility to our disease of pride. His death pays the penalty owed by sin and frees us from eternal death. Having undergone death, he had the power to rise from the dead, so we can trust him to raise us from the dead and to glorify our bodies so that they will no longer be subject to death. Since he has loved us so much, we should rejoice to have him as our judge. He has given us his Holy Spirit so that we might love each other and receive our eternal reward. In the Church, which is his “body” (Eph. 1:23), he unites us in charity with him and with each other. Those who love him are liberated from the slavery of sin and will live in glorious union with him forever. Christ calls us to enjoy him now and eternally.

Given his many friendships, Augustine is aware that it seems harsh to speak of using, rather than enjoying, our fellow humans and ourselves. True friends do not use each other for gain, but rather they share interests with each other and enjoy spending time with each other. Yet the distinction that he wants to highlight has to do with how much more we should love God than we love any created reality. Strictly speaking, the only way to love another person for his or her own sake, or to love ourselves for our own sake, would be to turn another person or ourselves into an idol. We cannot rest in other humans or ourselves in the sense of finding our ultimate happiness in them. Neither other humans nor we ourselves have the resources to give us enduring blessedness. If in this technical sense we tried to “enjoy” each other or ourselves, we would already fail to love each other or ourselves as we ought. This is so because

the dignity and goodness of human existence is enhanced by our connection to the eternal God. Seen in light of our connection to God, we are more than merely transient creatures; we have enduring existence and value. Without this connection to God, we would lack enduring existence and value. If we try to enjoy others or ourselves as if we were God, we paradoxically find ourselves much less worthy of love.

When we love others and ourselves on account of God, we “use” ourselves and others rather than “enjoy” ourselves and others. In other words, God is our goal. All our other relationships find their fullness in relation to our enjoyment of God. God gives us our ultimate happiness, in which all our other relationships will be fulfilled. God alone is lovable and enjoyable with our whole hearts, and other relationships are true friendships insofar as they are ordered to our friendship with God. If they draw us away from God, they are false friendships, since they would not then be ordered to our true good. Put another way, in loving our neighbors and ourselves, we should do nothing that is not also fully and truly love of God. If we were to act against the love of God, we would thereby fail also to be true lovers of our neighbors and ourselves. With regard to our neighbors and ourselves, “use” therefore signifies rightly ordered love rather than manipulation or instrumentalization. When we “use” nonrational things rightly, we are not in a relationship of love with them, since love is an interpersonal communion—although we love our bodies, since they belong to ourselves. Those who seem to hate their bodies in fact hate the limitations and defects of their bodies rather than their bodies per se.

This discussion of the distinction between using and enjoying prepares Augustine to interpret Jesus’s teaching, “You shall love the Lord your God with all your heart, and with all your soul, and with all your mind. This is the great and first commandment. And a second is like it, You shall love your neighbor as yourself. On these two commandments depend all the law and the prophets” (Matt. 22:37–40). To love rightly, we must love God above all, and all things in relation to God. We must love others as we love ourselves; and we must love ourselves while being willing to sacrifice our bodily lives out of love for others. Although we should love all others equally, we cannot distribute our acts of love equally among all humans on earth. Those closest to us have first claim to our acts of love.

Just as theatergoers who love a certain actor love each other on account of that actor, says Augustine, so it is for those who share a love for God. Like theatergoers who spread the word about the great actor, so those who love God spread the word about God. If any hate the great actor—or hate God—the

lovers hate this hatred and strive to change it. If those who hate God really knew him, they would love him. God has no need for our love; he desires our love not for his sake but for ours, so that he can reward us eternally. In a similar way, we have no need for the love of our enemies, since we do not fear that they can take away what we love. Rather, we are sorry for them since they are missing out on so great a good, and we wish for them to be able to share it with us in the communion of love. In the parable of the good Samaritan, Jesus makes clear that all humans, including our enemies, are our neighbors and are to be loved. We owe benevolence and mercy to all (see also Matt. 5:44; Rom. 13:9–10), so that we may enjoy God.

The distinction between “enjoy” and “use,” as the two modes of love, causes some difficulties when it comes to God’s love for us. God does not love us as his ultimate happiness. If we were God’s ultimate happiness, he would be needy in relation to us, and his love would be demanding of gift rather than the source of all gift. He must, then, “use” us; but Augustine immediately adds that he doesn’t “use” as we do. When we use a thing, we love it in reference to God. Our goal is to enjoy God for his own sake, and so we do not love other things as our ultimate end, but instead we love them with reference to that end. God loves his own good, and in loving his own good, he loves us as ordered to that good. God’s love of us can be called “use” because he loves us not as his ultimate good but as ordered to that good. He is the divine good, and he wills to share it with us; in this regard he can be said to “use” us, by ordering us to the good that he is. The difference between his “use” of things and our “use” of things, therefore, is that we use things as part of our journey to attain our end, whereas he already is his end and he uses things to give them their end. His use is useful not to him but to us. Certainly, when we imitate God’s love, we serve others mercifully in order to be useful to them rather than to advance our own purposes; but precisely such mercy actually does advance our own purposes, by configuring us to Christ. The reward that God gives us consists in our enjoyment of God, through which we enjoy each other in God.

If we “enjoy” ourselves, then we rely upon a created thing for our ultimate happiness. It is this pride, ridiculous when viewed objectively, that constituted the fall of the angels and the fall of humankind. Holy persons show us the goodness of created things, but they do not allow us to stop there. They guide us toward the source of all goodness, God. In this manner Paul refuses to permit his flock to find their good in him: “Was Paul crucified for you? Or were you baptized in the name of Paul?” (1 Cor. 1:13); “Neither he who plants nor he who waters is anything, but only God who gives the growth” (1 Cor. 3:7). The key is to refuse to place our hope for happiness in anything but God. If we cleave to created things, we turn ourselves away from their source. Even our delight in the beloved cannot be our goal. If we focus on our delight, we will lose the beloved. Even Christ, if we know him solely in his humanity, cannot lead us to our goal (see 2 Cor. 5:16). When we know the human Christ in his
divinity, we come to know the Father in the Holy Spirit, and we can enjoy him. The Word dwells with us in time and dies for us, but he does so not to enclose us in temporal things but to lead us, in the flesh, to enjoy eternal things at the right hand of the Father. Scripture, then, teaches about temporal and eternal things. The purpose of the whole of Scripture is that we come to love rightly, to “enjoy,” the eternal Trinity in the fellowship of the saints. This purpose is at the heart of the providentially ordered course of temporal things recorded in Scripture. These temporal things direct us to our goal without being themselves our goal. With regard to the temporal things of salvation history, Augustine states that we should “love those things by which we are carried along for the sake of that toward which we are carried.” He goes on to say that Scripture is only understood rightly when it is understood, in all its parts, to be about the love of God and neighbor. If, in trying to understand a biblical text, we interpret it in a manner that builds up charity but that turns out not to be the meaning intended by the author, we can be sure that we have not distorted the fundamental meaning of the text. Granted that it is best to seek the meaning intended by the author, we should not forget that Scripture is about love. We must approach Scripture in faith, hope, and love, and not place our trust in our own interpretations, which can lead us astray. Not erudition, but faith, hope, and love lead us to our goal of the vision of God. Many will attain this goal without studying even the books of Scripture. Indeed, biblical erudition is of no value unless it serves a life of faith, hope, and love; Scripture will not endure eternally, but love will. Since God gave us Scripture not so that we might rest in it but in order that we might come to enjoy him forever in love, we cannot read Scripture rightly unless by faith we know what is to be hoped for and loved, and unless by hope and charity we live accordingly.

Book 2

Just as the subject of Book 1 was things, the subject of Book 2 is signs. As examples of signs, Augustine gives the footprints of an animal or the smoke of a fire. Signs are things that signify not themselves but something else. Footprints

or smoke are natural signs, but Augustine focuses on conventional signs. A conventional sign is one that living creatures make in order to convey an emotion, sensation, or idea. This kind of sign could be signified otherwise, unlike a footprint. Instances of this kind of sign include when we nod in agreement, a military flag, and words. Human language in the form of words can be articulated vocally or written down. Because of human dissension, humans have not one language but many (see Gen. 11:1–9). Scripture is written in Hebrew and Greek, and then translated into other languages so that the truth of salvation might spread.

If Scripture is an instrument of salvation, then why does it need interpretation? Augustine argues that God allowed obscurities and ambiguities to be present in Scripture so that those who are intellectually proud might be humbled by the labor of interpretation, and so that the message of Scripture might not be disdained because it seemed too simple. Regarding the latter point, Augustine recognizes the delight that humans take in similitudes or figures. He gives an example of this by using a passage from the Song of Songs to describe the Church’s ability to conquer idolatry and to bring about the love of God and neighbor. Similitudes help our minds to grasp truth more easily, and we value insights more when they are achieved through difficult labor. Scripture contains both clear passages and obscure passages in order that people neither give up nor become complacent. What is taught obscurely in one place is taught clearly in another.

The first step in the interpretation of Scripture, says Augustine, is to be moved by the holy fear of God, by which we seek to do his will. Fear of God reminds us that we are mortal and thereby curtails our foolish pride. The second step is to attain piety. Piety makes us meek readers of Scripture. Otherwise we tend to defend our vices against Scripture’s condemnation or to place ourselves above Scripture in other ways. To learn from Scripture, we must be docile to God speaking through it. The third step in the interpretation of Scripture consists in knowledge. The reader of Scripture comes to know that we must love God for his own sake, and we must love our neighbor and ourselves in reference to God. This knowledge challenges us to realize that our loves have not been well ordered; we have loved creatures to the contempt of the Creator. In fear of God and piety, the interpreter of Scripture must begin, therefore, by lamenting his sins. The fourth step is to gain fortitude. Such fortitude enables us to seek justice and extract ourselves from the love of the world, so as to learn to love eternal things—the Trinity—as we should. The

fifth step is mercy. Loving our neighbor purifies our minds and hearts so that we can love the Trinity. When we love our enemy, we have arrived at the sixth step, purity of heart. This step involves dying to the world, so that our joy comes from the light of the Trinity and we do not allow the desire to please others and avoid adversity to cause us to turn from the truth that challenges us. The seventh and final step in the interpretation of Scripture is the peace of wisdom.

To interpret Scripture, then, is a work of virtue above all, and its goal is the transformation of the interpreter in the love of God and neighbor. The steps of fear of God, piety, knowledge, fortitude, mercy, purity of heart, and wisdom make clear that one reads Scripture so as to encounter the reality of God and to be changed into a lover of God and neighbor, not merely to become learned in words. On this foundation, Augustine turns his attention to the third step, the knowledge of Scripture. To be knowledgeable in Scripture, one must have read the canonical books of Scripture. Those books are canonical that are accepted by the great majority of the most important churches.  

He lists these books, including (among books that were later contested) Judith, 1 and 2 Maccabees, Tobit, Wisdom of Solomon, and Sirach. Having become familiar with these books by reading them, the biblical interpreter should focus first on those things that are clearly said in Scripture, so as to understand the contours of faith, hope, and love. Only then should the obscure passages of Scripture be studied, and the interpretation of the obscure passages should be guided by the meaning of the clear passages.

With regard to obscure passages, Augustine notes that words, as signs, can be either literal or figurative in their designation. Words intended literally can be obscure because their meaning is not known. In this regard he urges the study of Hebrew and Greek, because otherwise one has to rely on the multiplicity of translations, without knowing which if any of them are accurate as regards the passage in question (although comparing translations can also be of use). He warns against being offended by Latin barbarisms in the translations of Scripture, and he also warns against an overly literal translation that will not be comprehensible to its readers. He defends the authority and inspiration of the Septuagint, even in cases where it differs from the Hebrew manuscripts. Words intended figuratively, when obscure, have to be studied in two ways: through the knowledge of languages and through the knowledge of things. Knowing the literal Hebrew meaning of names and places (such as Adam or Jerusalem) can help us to discern the figure. So can knowing about things; for example, when we know how serpents defend their head by exposing their body, we gain insight into what it means to be “wise as serpents” (Matt. 10:16).

His favorite example is knowledge of numbers, for instance the number forty, which reduces to four and ten and leads to quite a few figurative meanings for Augustine. He also extols the value of musical knowledge, despite the myth of the nine muses.

To understand what pagan knowledge should be studied, we need to know how to separate what is superstitious from what is not superstitious in pagan modes of signification. The making and worshiping of idols, the practice of magic and enchantments (including for supposed medical purposes), superstitious customs such as kicking a stone to preserve friendship, and astrological prognostications are deeply deleterious nonsense. Scripture condemns these attempts at signifying as demonic productions and snares. Other pagan modes of signification, however, are rooted not in the conjunction of demons and humans but in the development of institutions that serve human well-being. These include human institutions such as customs of dress, weights and measures, coinage, the forms of letters and languages, and so forth. He is less sanguine about paintings, statues, and poetic tales; these he considers superfluous because of their tendency toward falsehood. Among institutions that have their source not in human ingenuity but in the order of things, Augustine especially values history; knowledge of the Roman consuls, for instance, can help to identify the age at which Christ died on the cross. He mentions that the study of history suggested to Ambrose that during Plato’s travels in Egypt, Plato may have learned from Jewish books. Augustine praises geography, studies of plants and animals, and astronomy, although he warns that the latter is of little use for interpreting Scripture. He commends the practical arts and mathematics. Dialectical disputation and rhetoric are helpful but only in certain forms. The rules of valid inference, definition, and judgment likewise serve biblical interpretation.

17. See Markus, Signs and Meanings, 134–40.
21. For the argument that Augustine’s program for the liberal arts should be seen within the broader context of his response to Porphyry, see Frederick Van Fleteren, “St. Augustine, Neoplatonism, and the Liberal Arts: The Background to De doctrina christiana,” in De doctrina christiana: A Classic of Western Culture, 15–24.
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None of these forms of knowledge can lead to the blessed life, and so Augustine cautions that Christian students should not be carried away by them. Using the image of despoiling the Egyptians (Exod. 11:2), he argues that Christians should appropriate the best aspects of pagan philosophy, just as Cyprian, Hilary, and many Greek Christian writers have done. When they gain knowledge from the pagans, Christians should not become puffed up with pride. Only knowledge joined with charity and humility is useful unto salvation (see 1 Cor. 8:1). Making the sign of the cross reminds us that our salvation consists in doing good deeds in Christ, clinging to him in love, hoping to share in his eternal reward, and reverencing the sacraments. Since our salvation comes from Christ, pride in our knowledge is deadly. Our goal is “to know the love of Christ which surpasses knowledge, that you may be filled with all the fullness of God” (Eph. 3:19). Indeed, the knowledge that humble and charitable readers can gain from the Scriptures far surpasses the knowledge that can be obtained from pagan learning.

**Book 3**

Augustine inquires further into how to interpret ambiguous words or signs in Scripture. In matters of punctuation, for example, we should follow the construction that best fits with the rule of faith and the context of the words. At times two constructions of a difficult sentence will be equally permissible. It is crucial not to interpret figurative signs literally. Although some of the Jewish people did so, they had the excuse that their signs were commanded by God and were ordered to true worship. Their signs were useful because they truly prepared the Jewish people for Christ’s coming, as shown by the notable zeal of the first church in Jerusalem. The first churches of the Jews discovered with joy the full meaning of the signs of the Old Testament. Even during the period of the Old Testament, some Jews knew in faith the meaning of the signs rather than being “enslaved” to them (through not knowing their meaning). These Jews, among whom Augustine includes the patriarchs and the prophets, were already “spiritual and free.”22 By contrast, due to the influence of generations of paganism, the first churches in pagan cities were not as zealous. The pagan idols, as useless signs, could only symbolize other creatures.23


Augustine adds that taking literal signs as though they were figurative must also be avoided. To distinguish between literal and figurative (metaphorical and allegorical) signs, he offers this rule: signs can be literal only if they accord with the truth of faith and foster love of God and neighbor.24 With regard to the truth of faith, Scripture refuses to allow us to rest in our own opinions. It presents us instead with the Catholic faith in three modes: past, present, and future. With regard to love of God and neighbor, Scripture challenges our tendency to suppose that our local customs must be right. It challenges our cupidity, our cleaving to the creature rather than to the Creator, by teaching charity. When Scripture attributes any bitterness or anger in word or deed to God or to his saints, the meaning of this has to do with the destruction of the reign of cupidity; otherwise it is figurative. Cupidity needs to be destroyed because it destroys those in whom it reigns. By being liberated from cupidity, we are enabled to love God and neighbor.25

Augustine takes as an example the patriarchs’ having several wives. Since this was done by reason of the legitimate need for more children rather than by reason of lust, this practice was not in itself sinful, although it should no longer be practiced today. It should be read figuratively as well as literally, however, because it is most clearly useful for destroying cupidity and fostering charity when it is read figuratively. He emphasizes that the fact that having many wives once was acceptable, and now is not, does not mean that there is no absolute standard of justice. On the contrary, the absolute standard is the golden rule: “Whatever you wish that men would do to you, do so to them” (Matt. 7:12). This rule of charity stands as a fundamental rule for interpreting Scripture. The literal and figurative meanings of Scripture cannot be opposed to the reign of charity. If one interprets Scripture to say something opposed to charity, one has misinterpreted Scripture. Thus if a biblical passage seems to commend vice, its true sense is figurative. For instance, when Romans 12:20 urges us to care for our enemies because “by so doing you will heap burning coals upon his head,” the meaning is not that we will thereby take vengeance on our enemies but rather that we will instill penitence in our enemies and turn them into our friends.

To his rule for distinguishing between literal and figurative passages in Scripture, Augustine adds the point that some teachings in Scripture are meant for everyone, while other teachings are meant only for some. His concern here is particularly with those who, in encouraging celibacy, take as figurative the

statements in Scripture in favor of marriage. These statements should be taken literally, but they need not apply to everyone, as other statements of Scripture show. Equally, the biblical warrant for having many wives applied only when the time, place, and circumstances required having more children, something that is not the case now. Augustine argues that the patriarchs did not have many wives on grounds of lust, with the notable exception of Solomon. The sins of the patriarchs are both figurative and, in the sense that they caution us against pride, literal.

Words that are figurative in Scripture are so by some likeness or relation to another thing. The figure can be positive or negative. For example, Jesus at one time warns against “the leaven of the Pharisees” (Matt. 16:11) and at another compares the kingdom of God to “leaven” (Luke 13:20–21). In the same way, figurative use of “lion” and “serpent” is sometimes positive and sometimes negative. “Water” has a wide variety of diverse, though not contrary, figurative meanings. If a single passage of Scripture can be interpreted in two or more ways and the intended meaning of the author cannot be known, this is okay so long as none of the meanings contradicts the truth taught elsewhere in Scripture. In working through the human author of the biblical passage, the Holy Spirit knew what other true meanings could and would be elucidated by interpreters. When comparison with similar biblical passages leaves an ambiguity about meaning, in such cases we must use our reason with utmost caution. Augustine adds that knowledge about Scripture’s figurative meaning is aided by grammatical knowledge of the various kinds of tropes.

Book 3 concludes with a discussion of the seven rules for biblical interpretation proposed by the Donatist author Tyconius.26 Although Augustine points out that there are numerous passages in Scripture whose meaning cannot be found by following these rules, he nonetheless considers the rules to be generally helpful for determining the figurative meaning of biblical passages. The first rule is that Christ and the Church can both be indicated in one passage. The second rule is that the Church here and now contains true members and false members. The third has to do with the relationship between the promises (faith) and the law (works), although Tyconius imagines that we ourselves, rather than God, are the origin of our faith. The fourth rule consists in the relationship of a part to the whole, for example when something is said of “Jerusalem” or “Babylon” that in fact applies to all peoples, or when something is said of the nation of Israel that applies to the Israel that is constituted by faith. The fifth rule has to do with Scripture’s way of accounting for time, especially the use of symbolic numbers. The sixth rule handles seeming contradictions in

Scripture’s historical timeline, for example with respect to the emergence of the diversity of languages, by proposing that certain passages recapitulate and illumine earlier ones. The seventh rule observes that some passages regarding the devil in fact have to do with his “body,” that is to say those who follow him. In the context of piety, prayer, and study, these rules will aid those who seek Scripture’s figurative meanings.

Book 4

The first three books have to do with understanding the meaning of the signs of Scripture. In this fourth book, composed some years after the earlier parts, Augustine makes good on his promise in the prologue to say something about the teaching of Scripture. He notes that he does not wish to repeat here the rules of rhetoric that he taught for many years as a young man, because rhetoric can be used in favor of both truth and lies. Even so, rhetoric should be learned, if one can do so quickly and by imitating the example of others, since eloquence helps one in the labor of conciliating, inspiring, reproving, and instructing an audience. In order to speak wisely, however, the crucial thing is to have understood the Scriptures oneself, or at least to retain in memory many wise biblical passages that one can quote to good effect. One will also be well served by reading and remembering the works of those who have written wisely (and eloquently) about Scripture.

Augustine singles out St. Paul for particular eloquence of speech. Lest he seem to be admitting that of the biblical authors Paul alone is eloquent, he also gives the example of the prophet Amos, as translated by Jerome. In both cases he examines passages from their writings in light of the rules of rhetoric. If the biblical authors were eloquent, why were they sometimes obscure? They thereby inspired the labor of interpreters and made clear the importance of piety for interpretation. But their expositors should seek clarity rather than imitating their occasional obscurity. Preachers of Scripture should attend to their audience’s cues, so that once the audience has understood a point, the preacher should move to another point. Once the audience has heard the truth and understood it, the goal of preaching has been attained. Until the audience has understood, the preacher has not really “spoken.” The best preaching will


be not only direct but also eloquent, because a preacher should teach, delight, and move the audience. The preacher who delights the audience will be able to hold the audience's attention. The audience should be moved to love what the preacher says is lovable and to sorrow at what the preacher says is sorrowful. Although instruction is primary, nonetheless the gift of moving an audience should not be scorned, since the goal of preaching is that the audience assent wholeheartedly to what it learns. Augustine warns, however, against overly florid eloquence.

What about Jesus’s command, “Do not be anxious how you are to speak or what you are to say; for what you are to say will be given to you in that hour” (Matt. 10:19)? Augustine emphasizes that prayer before speaking is primary, but he also points out that St. Paul taught Timothy and Titus what they should teach others. Although the Holy Spirit raises up Christian teachers, nonetheless these teachers cannot suppose that they do not need to learn the content of faith from others.

In striving to teach, delight, and move their audience, Christian teachers will also need to reflect upon Cicero’s dictum that we should speak about the thing in accord with its significance, so that we speak about minor matters in a subdued way and about grand matters in a grand way. Matters of justice that might have seemed minor to Cicero will not seem minor to Christians. A charitable action that might seem to be of little note is great in God’s eyes. The use of the grand manner of speech should be reserved for moving one’s audience to a deeper conversion and love of God. As an example of subdued rhetorical style, he examines a passage from Galatians and considers especially Paul’s manner of raising and answering objections to his own position. In Romans, he finds examples of the moderate and the grand rhetorical style. From Cyprian and Ambrose he gives examples of the subdued, moderate, and grand rhetorical styles. He cautions against overuse of the grand rhetorical style, because even though it is powerful for moving an audience, the audience also tires of it quickly. He also explores how to mix the styles and the effects of each style. He adds that the life of the speaker, even more than the speaker’s eloquence, will influence whether the speaker’s words are persuasive, although even hypocritical speakers can persuade others to do good.

Conclusion

In the Prologue of On Christian Doctrine, Augustine responds to “Christians who rejoice to know the Sacred Scriptures without human instruction.” God could have revealed things directly to each individual human, and in some cases

God has revealed himself directly. But in almost every case, God has required that we learn from others. Even in speaking to us directly in Jesus Christ, God ensured that we would learn Jesus’s words and deeds from others, who would have to interpret them. The divinity of Jesus Christ is mediated through his humanity, and the biblical signs that testify to him are mediated to us through Israel and the Church. The guidance of the Holy Spirit does not take away from the profound presence of human mediation and interpretation at the heart of God’s work of salvation. Why did God choose this way to reveal himself?

Augustine’s answer is that given the needs and capacities of fallen human nature, God reveals himself through signs so as to train us in love. Since we must learn about God through signs that have been given in history, we can come to God only within the community of wisdom and love built up by Christ and the Holy Spirit. To learn from Christ in the Church means to learn how to move from sign to thing, so as to cleave in love to the unseen God who is revealed through signs. Those whose task it is to interpret Scripture for others must employ its signs for the purpose of leading others to love of God and neighbor. This purpose does not mean abandoning the liberal arts or the methods of persuasive public speaking. But it does mean redirecting such learning toward the goal of Christian wisdom. If such a redirection is to succeed, Christian interpreters must not become puffed up by their learning and must practice what they preach. In the school that is the Church, the labor of learning and teaching is at the service of love of God and neighbor.